#### Specialization, Complexity & Resilience in Supply Chains

Authors: Alessandro Ferrari (U. of Zurich, CEPR) and Lorenzo Pesaresi (U. of Zurich) Discussant: Fabrizio Leone (Bank of Italy, CESifo)

Trade, Value Chains and Financial Linkages in the Global Economy

November 14th, 2024

## Summary

Theoretical background: canonical multi-country multi-sector I-O models match global trade but are inadequate to understand supply chains' resilience/bottlenecks/reshoring,...

This paper: theory of supply chains that delivers a model-consistent and welfare-relevant notion of resilience (as a function of search frictions, specialization, complexity)

- Key result: if production is complex, i.e., multiple complementary inputs are needed to produce final output, the decentralized equilibrium is less resilient than optimal
  - Decentralized equilibrium features over-specialization of intermediate producers
  - Result robust to several alternative model specifications

## Summary

Theoretical background: canonical multi-country multi-sector I-O models match global trade but are inadequate to understand supply chains' resilience/bottlenecks/reshoring,...

This paper: theory of supply chains that delivers a model-consistent and welfare-relevant notion of resilience (as a function of search frictions, specialization, complexity)

- Key result: if production is complex, i.e., multiple complementary inputs are needed to produce final output, the decentralized equilibrium is less resilient than optimal
  - Decentralized equilibrium features over-specialization of intermediate producers
  - Result robust to several alternative model specifications

## Summary

Theoretical background: canonical multi-country multi-sector I-O models match global trade but are inadequate to understand supply chains' resilience/bottlenecks/reshoring,...

This paper: theory of supply chains that delivers a model-consistent and welfare-relevant notion of resilience (as a function of search frictions, specialization, complexity)

- **Key result**: if production is complex, i.e., multiple complementary inputs are needed to produce final output, the **decentralized equilibrium is less resilient than optimal** 
  - Decentralized equilibrium features over-specialization of intermediate producers
  - Result robust to several alternative model specifications

Under the decentralized equilibrium, intermediate producers' specialization solves

Effect of specialization of j = Marginal cost on own surplus = of specialization

Under the efficient allocation, intermediate producers' specialization solves

Effect of specialization of J on own surplus Effect of specialization of j on surplus of all other  $i \neq j$ 

Marginal cost of specialization

The red term comes from missing internalization among intermediate producers (and not, e.g., from HHs' preferences)

Can horizontal integration among intermediate producers restore efficiency?

- Policy: promote domestic champions that can produce critical inputs
- Does (a stretched version of) the model support this goal?

Under the decentralized equilibrium, intermediate producers' specialization solves

Effect of specialization of j =Marginal cost of specialization on own surplus

Under the efficient allocation, intermediate producers' specialization solves

Effect of specialization of j + Effect of specialization of jon own surplus

on surplus of all other  $i \neq j$  =

Marginal cost of specialization

Under the decentralized equilibrium, intermediate producers' specialization solves

Effect of specialization of j \_\_\_\_\_ Marginal cost of specialization on own surplus

Under the **efficient allocation**, intermediate producers' **specialization** solves

Effect of specialization of jon own surplus + Effect of specialization of jon surplus of all other  $i \neq j$  = Marginal cost of specialization

The red term comes from missing internalization among intermediate producers (and not, e.g., from HHs' preferences)

Under the decentralized equilibrium, intermediate producers' specialization solves

Effect of specialization of j = Marginal cost on own surplus = of specialization

Under the **efficient allocation**, intermediate producers' **specialization** solves

Effect of specialization of jon own surplus + Effect of specialization of jon surplus of all other  $i \neq j$  = Marginal cost of specialization

The red term comes from missing internalization among intermediate producers (and not, e.g., from HHs' preferences)

Can horizontal integration among intermediate producers restore efficiency?

- **Policy**: promote domestic champions that can produce critical inputs
- Does (a stretched version of) the model support this goal?

 $\blacktriangleright$  Competition among intermediate producers  $\Rightarrow$  excessive payments to final producers

Transfers between intermediate and final producers restore efficiency

Can vertical integration among intermediate and final producers restore efficiency?

- Policy: facilitate vertical mergers to unleash synergies
- Does (a stretched version of) the model support this goal?

 $\blacktriangleright$  Competition among intermediate producers  $\Rightarrow$  excessive payments to final producers

> Transfers between intermediate and final producers restore efficiency

Can vertical integration among intermediate and final producers restore efficiency?

- Policy: facilitate vertical mergers to unleash synergies
- Does (a stretched version of) the model support this goal?

 $\blacktriangleright$  Competition among intermediate producers  $\Rightarrow$  excessive payments to final producers

**Transfers** between intermediate and final producers restore efficiency

Can vertical integration among intermediate and final producers restore efficiency?

- **Policy**: facilitate vertical mergers to unleash synergies
- Does (a stretched version of) the model support this goal?

## Additional Comments

- 1. Heterogeneity of final producers: over-specialization might still hold with heterogeneous final producers, but assortative matching may amplify/attenuate it?
  - E.g., PAM: larger benefits of specialization BUT lower business-stealing externality
- No notion of international trade: yet the debate about disruptions/bottlenecks/reshoring is mostly in open economy ⇒ how can trade policy enhance supply chains resilience?

3. Theory-consistent measurement of resilience?

$$f^{N} = \left[1 - \exp\{-\lambda\bar{\phi}\}\right]^{N}$$

- How would you measure  $\lambda$  (search frictions),  $ar{\phi}$  (av. specialization) and N (complexity)?
- An empirical resilience index would be very valuable

## Additional Comments

- 1. Heterogeneity of final producers: over-specialization might still hold with heterogeneous final producers, but assortative matching may amplify/attenuate it?
  - E.g., PAM: larger benefits of specialization BUT lower business-stealing externality
- 2. No notion of international trade: yet the debate about disruptions/bottlenecks/reshoring is mostly in open economy ⇒ how can trade policy enhance supply chains resilience?

3. Theory-consistent measurement of resilience?

 $f^N = \left[1 - \exp\{-\lambda \bar{\phi}\}
ight]^N$ 

- How would you measure  $\lambda$  (search frictions),  $\overline{\phi}$  (av. specialization) and N (complexity)?
- An empirical resilience index would be very valuable

## Additional Comments

- 1. Heterogeneity of final producers: over-specialization might still hold with heterogeneous final producers, but assortative matching may amplify/attenuate it?
  - E.g., PAM: larger benefits of specialization BUT lower business-stealing externality
- 2. No notion of international trade: yet the debate about disruptions/bottlenecks/reshoring is mostly in open economy ⇒ how can trade policy enhance supply chains resilience?
- 3. Theory-consistent measurement of resilience?

$$f^{N} = \left[1 - \exp\{-\lambda\bar{\phi}\}\right]^{N}$$

- How would you measure  $\lambda$  (search frictions),  $\overline{\phi}$  (av. specialization) and N (complexity)?
- An empirical resilience index would be very valuable

## Conclusions

New and clear theoretical work on a crucial topic

Looking forward to the next iteration of the paper

Thank you!

## Conclusions

New and clear theoretical work on a crucial topic

Looking forward to the next iteration of the paper

# Thank you!