High Inflation and Nominal Wage Rigidity: The Implicit Response of the Italian Tax-Benefit System

Stefano Boscolo¹ Francesco Figari² Carlo Fiorio¹ Andrea Riganti¹

¹Department of Economics, Management and Quantitative Methods – University of Milan

²Department of Economics and Business Studies – University of Eastern Piedmont

2nd Banca d'Italia Workshop on Microsimulation modelling Rome, June 16th 2023

(1) Motivation

Wage and price growth in Italy (monthly variation with respect to the previous year)



Source: Authors' elaborations on ISTAT statistics on contractual wages and prices

- High inflation (CPI 2022: 8.1%), but wages struggle to keep up
- Pensions and social transfers are indexed to inflation
- Inflation-induced nominal gains for specific categories:
 - Public expenditure financing and tax burden
 - Short- and long-term redistributive effects both across and within households
- Context: ageing societies, inflationary pressures, lack of automatic mechanisms to adjust wages

- To what extent do inflation-induced revenue and expenditure variations determine the redistributive effect and its vertical and horizontal subeffects? How do these variations distribute across households?
- Inflation-induced revenue variations (ΔT):
 - Fiscal drag through progressive taxes
 - (Reserve) Fiscal drag through social insurance contributions (SICs)
 - Revenue variation attributed to inflation-dependent SIC-related policy changes
- Inflation-induced expenditure variations (ΔB):
 - Indexation for work-related pensions
 - Indexation for social assistance pensions and other social transfers
 - Benefit erosion for non-indexed social transfers

- Short-term effects of modern tax-benefit systems in response to macroeconomic shocks (Dolls et al., 2012; Bargain et al., 2017; Cantó et al., 2022)
- Fiscal drag and tax progressivity (Immervoll, 2005, 2006; Levy et al., 2010)
- Effect of indexation rules on:
 - Income inequality and poverty (Sutherland et al., 2008; Whitehouse, 2009; Hinrichs, 2015; Paulus et al., 2020)
 - Pension adequacy and pension wealth accumulation (Grech, 2015)
- Contribution of tax-benefit instruments to the vertical and horizontal effects (Barbetta et al., 2018; Di Caro, 2020)

(1) Institutional context

- $\bullet\,$ Tax rules and brackets are not indexed \rightarrow Room for fiscal drag
- $\bullet\,$ Statutory thresholds for the payment of SICs are indexed $\to\,$ Room for (reserve) fiscal drag
- Pensions and social transfers are generally indexed, but indexation varies according to the type of benefit:
 - Work-related pensions:
 - Full indexation up to 4 times the minimum pension amount (€2095.32 in 2022)
 - Partial indexation (gradual) from 4 to 10 times: 85%-32%
 - Partial indexation (fixed) from 10 times: 32%
 - Social transfers (*i.e.* social assistance pensions, family allowances, unemployment benefits and other): **full indexation**
- Lack of indexation for the minimum income scheme (RdC) \rightarrow Room for benefit erosion

- Backward-looking structure of the indexation mechanism: percentage variation between the average value of the Italian CPI (FOI index) in the previous year (t 1) and the corresponding value in t 2, with t equal to the year of benefit receipt
- Indexation rate for pensions in 2023 (provisional): 7.3%
- \bullet Indexation rate for SIC-related thresholds and social transfers in 2023: 8.1%

- Microsimulation-based study: EUROMOD (IT)
- Data: 2019 EU-SILC for Italy (income values refer to 2018)
- Uprating techniques to correct time inconsistencies between monetary input data and the policy year
- We compare a baseline scenario that reflects as closely as possible the 2023 tax-benefit system with two zero-inflation counterfactual scenarios
- The scenario comparisons are intended to capture inflation-induced revenue and expenditure variations at the unit level

(2) Empirical strategy

• Baseline scenario (Scenario I):

- Policy year: 2023
- Uprating factors: high inflation, wages grow but less than prices according to the Index for contractual hourly wages released by ISTAT, self-employment income follows price growth

• Counterfactual scenarios (Scenario NI1 and Scenario NI2):

- Policy year: 2023 (inflation-dependent tax-benefit rules: 2022)
- Uprating factors: no price growth and wage growth from 2022 onwards
- Changes to pension-related contribution rates for employees in the period 2022–2023:
 - Inflation-independent policy changes in Scenario NI1
 - Inflation-dependent policy changes in Scenario NI2

(3) Empirical strategy

 To isolate the contribution of inflation-induced revenue and expenditure variations (ΔT and ΔB) to the redistributive effect (RE), we implement the decomposition method put forward by Urban (2014) in Scenario I:

$$\beta RE = \beta VE - \beta HE = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta VE_{TB_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta HE_{TB_i}$$

where β stands for marginal change; VE and HE are the vertical equity and horizontal inequity effects, respectively; TB_i is the *i*-th tax-benefit instrument

- The decomposition is based on the earlier contributions of Kakwani (1984) and Lerman and Yitzhaki (1985)
- We consider ΔT and ΔB as separate tax-benefit instruments from taxes, pensions and social transfers net of the effect of (reserve) fiscal drag, inflation-dependent policy changes and indexation rules

(1) Results

• Unit of analysis: household, equivalised income (modified-OECD scale)

Inflation-induced nominal gains and losses by tax-benefit instrument (values in non-equivalised terms)

	Compariso	on #1: I vs. NI1	Comparison #2: I vs. NI2		
Instrument	€ (bn)	% disposable income I	€ (bn)	% disposable income I	
Variation SICs (employees/atypical)	-0.666	-0.08	-13.193	-1.56	
Variation SICs (self-employed)	-1.183	-0.14	-1.183	-0.14	
Fiscal drag progressive taxes	6.877	0.81	9.652	1.14	
Index. work-related pensions	16.793	1.99	16.793	1.99	
Index. unemployment benefits	1.123	0.13	1.123	0.13	
Index. social assistance pensions	2.198	0.26	2.176	0.26	
Index. family allowances	1.431	0.17	1.382	0.16	
Index. other social transfers	0.214	0.03	0.214	0.03	
Erosion minimum income scheme	-0.029	0.00	-0.381	-0.05	
Nominal net gain/Total no. households	16.702	1.98	26.030	3.08	

(2) Results

• $\beta RE = 9.611$, $\beta VE = 13.685$, $\beta HE = 4.077$

Tax-benefit instruments' contributions (%) to βRE , βVE and βHE (selected instruments)

	Comparison #1: I vs. NI1				Comparison #2: I vs. NI2			
Instrument	βRE	βVE	βHE	% disposable Income I	βRE	βVE	βHE	% disposable Income I
Variation SICs (employees/atypical)	-0.1	-0.1	-0.0	-0.1	1.2	1.0	0.6	-1.5
Variation SICs (self-employed)	0.5	0.2	-0.5	-0.1	0.5	0.2	-0.5	-0.1
i) Fiscal drag progressive taxes	-0.6	-0.1	1.1	0.8	-0.8	-0.3	0.9	1.1
Index. work-related pensions	4.2	2.9	0.1	2.2	4.2	2.9	0.1	2.2
Index. unemployment benefits	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.1
ii) Index. social assistance pensions	1.4	1.6	1.9	0.3	1.4	1.6	1.8	0.3
Index. family allowances	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.1
Index. other social transfers	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0
iii) Erosion minimum income scheme	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	0.0
Progressive taxes net of i)	54.1	45.2	24.2	20.7	54.4	45.4	24.3	20.4
Social assistance pensions net of ii)	11.9	15.0	22.2	2.7	12.0	15.0	22.2	2.7
Minimum income scheme net of iii)	12.6	11.5	8.9	1.4	12.8	11.8	9.2	1.4

- Contribution of ΔT to βRE: -0.2% (#1), 0.9% (#2)
- Contribution of ΔB to βRE : 6.4% (#1), 6.3% (#2)

(3) Results

(1) Inflation-induced resource distribution by disposable income decile



(4) Results

(2) Inflation-induced resource distribution by disposable income decile



(5) Results

(3) Inflation-induced resource distribution by disposable income decile



(6) Results

(1) Inflation-induced resource distribution by prevalent income source



(7) Results

(2) Inflation-induced resource distribution by prevalent income source



Take-home points

- Inflation-induced nominal net gains sum up to:
 - €26.0 bn (changes to SICs rates as inflation-dependent policy changes)
 - €16.7 bn (changes to SICs rates as inflation-independent policy changes)
- Inequality-increasing effect of fiscal drag (up to -0.8% of βRE)
- Inequality-decreasing effect of:
 - the inflation-induced variation in SICs paid by employees (1.2% of βRE)
 - benefit indexation rules (up to 6.4% of βRE)
- Levelling effect of inflation-induced resources in reducing disposable income differences
- Less burdensome loss in purchasing power for pensioner households over private sector employee households: call for a partial adjustment to inflation for wages (?)

References

- Barbetta, G., Pellegrino, S., and Turati, G. (2018). What Explains the Redistribution Achieved by the Italian Personal Income Tax? Evidence from Administrative Data. *Public Finance Review*, 46(1):7–28.
- Bargain, O., Callan, T., Doorley, K., and Keane, C. (2017). Changes in Income Distributions and the Role of Tax-Benefit Policy During the Great Recession: An International Perspective. *Fiscal Studies*, 38(4): 293–322.
- Cantó, O., Figari, F., Fiorio, C., Kuypers, S., Marchal, S., Romaguera-de-la Cruz, M., Tasseva, I., and Verbist, G. (2022). Welfare Resilience at the Onset of the COVID-19 Pandemic in a Selection of European Countries: Impact on Public Finance and Household Incomes. *Review of Income and Wealth*, 68: 293–322.
- Di Caro, P. (2020). Decomposing Personal Income Tax Redistribution with Application to Italy. *Journal of Economic Inequality*, 18:113–129.
- Dolls, M., Fuest, C., and Peichl, A. (2012). Automatic stabilization and discretionary fiscal policy in the financial crisis. IZA Journal of Labor Policy, 1:4.
- Grech, A. (2015). Evaluating the Possible Impact of Pension Reforms on Elderly Poverty in Europe. Social Policy and Administration, 49(1):68–87.
- Hinrichs, K. (2015). In the Wake of the Crisis: Pension Reforms in Eight European Countries. ZeS-Working Paper No. 01.
- Immervoll, H. (2005). Falling Up the Stairs: The Effects of "Bracket Creep" on Household Incomes. Review of Income and Wealth, 51(1): 37–62.
- Immervoll, H. (2006). Fiscal Drag: An Automatic Stabilizer? Research in Labor Economics, 25: 141-163.
- Kakwani, N. C. (1984). On the Measurement of Tax Progressivity and Redistribution Effect of Taxes with Applications to Horizontal and Vertical Equity. Advances in Econometrics, 3:149–168.
- Lerman, R. I. and Yitzhaki, S. (1985). Income Inequality Effects by Income Source: A New Approach and Applications to the United States. The Review of Economics and Statistics, 67(1):151–156.
- Levy, H., Nogueira, J., Siqueira, R., Immervoll, H., and O'Donoghue, C. (2010). Simulating the Impact of Inflation on the Progressivity of Personal Income Tax in Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Economia, 64(4):405–422.
- Paulus, A., Sutherland, H., and Tasseva, I. (2020). Indexing out of Poverty? Fiscal Drag and Benefit Erosion in Cross-National Perspective. Review of Income and Wealth, 66(2): 311–333.
- Sutherland, H., Evans, M., Hancock, R., Hills, J., and Zantomio, F. (2008). The impact of benefit and tax uprating on incomes and poverty. Essex: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
- Urban, I. (2014). Contributions of taxes and benefits to vertical and horizontal effects. Social Choice and Welfare, 42(3): 619-645.
- Whitehouse, E. (2009). Pensions, Purchasing-Power Risk, Inflation and Indexation. OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers No. 77.

Acknowledgements: The results presented here are based on EUROMOD version 15.41+. Having been originally maintained, developed and managed by the Institute for Social and Economic Research (ISER), since 2021 EUROMOD is maintained, developed and managed by the Joint Research Centre (JRC) of the European Commission, in collaboration with EUROSTAT and national teams from the EU countries. We are indebted to the many people who have contributed to the development of EUROMOD. We make use of microdata from the EU Statistics on Incomes and Living Conditions (EU-SILC) made available by Eurostat. The results and their interpretation are the authors' responsibility.

Thank you!

stefano.boscolo@unimi.it