

Bank Recapitalizations, Credit Supply and the Transmission of Monetary Policy

Mark Mink (DNB) Sebastiaan Pool (DNB)

Discussion by Caterina Mendicino (ECB)

ESCB Research Cluster on Monetary Policy

11-12 October 2018 | Bank of Italy

How does the design of **bank recapitalization** affect the **supply of credit** and the **transmission of monetary policy** *after a crisis*?

- focus: on **immediate vs delayed** bank recapitalization
- framework of analysis: **New Keynesian model** with a **simple banking** sector

This paper is part of the literature which incorporates **banking in otherwise standard DSGE models**

Goodfriend and McCallum (2007), Curdia and Woodford (2010), Gertler and Kiyotaki (2010), Gerali et al. (2010), Meh and Moran (2010), Gertler and Karadi (2011)...

→ *transmission of standard shocks through banks*

→ *transmission of shocks generated in the banking sector*

Closely related is the growing literature on the role of **bank capital and leverage requirements in macro-banking framework**

Vanden Heuvel (2008), Martinez-Miera and Suarez (2014), Nguyen(2014), Clerc et al (2015), Kiley and Sim (2015), Christiano and Ikeda (2017)...

→ *long-run effects of changes in regulation*

...and in particular recent papers on the interaction between **bank regulation and monetary** policy in a new Keynesian framework

Angeloni and Faia (2013), Angelini et al. (2014), Gersbach, Hahn and Liu (2016), Mendicino et al. (2017)...

→ *interaction between policies*

→ *short vs long-run effects of changes in regulation*

- It studies the importance of **government recapitalization** policies
- for the **pass-through of monetary policy** in response to negative productivity shocks
- in a framework that **isolates the effect of recapitalization policies** (allowing for outside equity, i.e. banks per se do not alter the transmission mechanism)

→ This paper explores the role of bank equity from a different angle.

Simple Banking Sector

Bank supply loans L_t to capital producers using deposit funding D_t and equity funding E_t from Households.

Bank only financier of the capital producers \rightarrow appropriates profits Π_{t+1}^K

Bank equity = Outside Equity, no costs

Max expected profits: $\Pi_{t+1}^B = \frac{R_t^L}{\pi_{t+1}} L_t - \left(\frac{R_t^D}{\pi_{t+1}} D_t + \frac{R_t^E}{\pi_{t+1}} E_t \right) + \Pi_{t+1}^K$

subject to: $E_t + D_t = L_t$ balance sheet constraint

$E_t \geq \kappa_t L_t$ regulatory capital/leverage constraint

- Banks

$$R_t^L = (1 - \kappa_t)R_t^D + \kappa_t R_t^E$$

$$E_t = \kappa_t L_t$$

$$D_t = (1 - \kappa_t)L_t$$

- Households: $R_t^D = R_t^E$

- Firms: $L_t = K_t$

→ Same properties as the baseline New Keynesian model without Banks!

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Shortfall: } S_{t+1} &= \max \left[\frac{R_t^D}{\pi_{t+1}} D_t - \left(\frac{R_t^L}{\pi_{t+1}} L_t + \Pi_{t+1}^K \right), 0 \right] \\ &= \max \left(\frac{R_t^L}{\pi_{t+1}} L_t \right) \left[\left(1 - \kappa_t \right) \frac{R_t^D}{R_t^L} - \frac{R_{t+1}^K - \delta}{R_t^L / \pi_{t+1}} \right], 0 \end{aligned}$$

Shortfall depends on **aggregate reasons**:

- Can occur if **return on capital below expectations**
($R_t^L / \pi_{t+1} = E_t R_{t+1}^K - \delta$)
- Large when **equity requirement low** ($\kappa = 1$ no shortfalls)

If Shortfall: government transfers resources to the bank in the form of **equity injections** (financed by a lump sum tax on households)

Define:

- **Threshold:** $\bar{\omega}_t = (1 - \kappa_t) \frac{R_t^D}{R_t^L}$
- **Stochastic Variable:** $\omega_{t+1} = \left(\frac{R_{t+1}^K - \delta}{E_t R_{t+1}^K - \delta} \right)$

Assume that ω_{t+1} normally distributed with std σ_ω . Useful to characterize

size of shortfall and effects of government interventions... **But WHY?**

- **Policy exercise:** *immediate vs delayed bank recapitalization*
 - **immediate:** the bank receive the transfer from the government directly when experiences a shortfall
→ **ex-ante bank charges lower lending rates**
 - **delayed:** one period after the shortfall; profits and income on loans reduce size of transfer → **smaller reduction in lending rates**
- Recapitalization is a subsidy to banks: leads to over-lending.

Crisis Scenario: one percent *decrease in productivity*: output (capital and loans) declines, inflation and the policy rate increase, as well as other interest rates

Pass-through of monetary policy to lending rates

- **lower** under immediate and large recapitalization
→ reduces effects of a drop in productivity (mitigate the output-inflation trade-off)
- **larger** in between a shortfall and a delayed recapitalization
→ amplifies effects of drop in productivity in between a short fall and delayed recapitalization (aggravates the trade-off between output and inflation)

Main result:

- Government recapitalization policies affect the **supply of credit** and the **transmission of monetary policy** in a crisis scenario
- Delayed recapitalization amplifies the effects of a negative productivity shock

- **Crisis scenario:** why productivity shocks?

Capital quality shock: shock to the quality of intermediary assets

Risk shock: shock to the variance of idiosyncratic uncertainty on the returns on capital

→ Output, Inflation and the policy rate fall: interesting effects at ZLB?

- **Monetary Policy:** why only conventional?

Liquidity Injections: mitigate potential funding needs of banks

CB Credit Policy: the central bank injects credit in response to movements in credit spreads/credit growth → Interaction between policies?

- **Banking Sector:** why not microfounding the banking frictions?
Zheng (2013): if there exists an agency problem between banks and their private-sector creditors, the recapitalization policy has real effects on the economy even in a flex price environment.