

Discussion of  
'A DSGE Model of the Term Structure  
with Regime Shifts'  
by Gianni Amisano and Oreste Tristani

Paolo Zagaglia  
Stockholm University and Bank of Finland

Rome, June 23 2008

# Introduction

---

- From the central bank's perspective, there's a strong case for
  - for extracting term (risk) premia,
  - and for understanding their determinants

## Why?

Jean-Claude Trichet, September 14 2005:

*"On the domestic side, investment should benefit from the exceptionally low level of both nominal and real market interest rates prevailing across the entire maturity spectrum."*

(Testimony before the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs of the European Parliament)

Ben Bernanke, March 20 2006:

*"... (I)f spending depends on long-term interest rates, special factors that lower the spread between short-term and long-term rates will stimulate aggregate demand. Thus, when the term premium declines, a higher short-term rate is required to obtain the long-term rate and the overall mix of financial conditions consistent with maximum sustainable employment and stable prices.."*

(‘Reflections on the Yield Curve and Monetary Policy’, Speech before the Economic Club of New York)

---

# Amisano and Tristani

---

## The approach:

- Go fully microfounded (barebone NK model)
- Second-order Taylor approximation (quadratic bond pricing)
- Markov switching in shocks:  $\sigma_t = \alpha_t \sigma_L + (1 - \alpha_t) \sigma_H$ ,  $\alpha_t \in \{0, 1\}$ 
  - 'Market price of regime switching'

## The results:

- Nonlinearity matters in terms of economic interpretation
    - Lower  $\hat{\gamma}$ , a.k.a. higher sensitivity of output real rate
  - R.S. improves fit
  - R.S. identifies relevant episodes:
    - n.-s. monetary policy shock identifies the Fed's experiment of 1980-1983,
    - technology shock identifies the Great Moderation,
    - preference shock is broadly consistent with economic cycle
  - Nonlinearity can induce substantial variability in risk premia
-

# My views

---

- A number of models and methods are available to extract and study term premia
- From Rudebusch, Sack and Swanson (2007), we know that premia extracted from very different methods are strongly correlated
- Hence, the literature faces two broad challenges, i.e. understanding
  - what determines term premia,
  - the role of the yield curve in the monetary transmission mechanism,

# Monetary transmission mechanism

---

- How to price bonds of maturity  $n \geq 1$ ?  $\mathcal{P}_t^n = \mathbf{E}_t (M_{t+1} \mathcal{P}_{t+1}^{n-1}) = \mathbf{E}_t \left( \prod_{j=1}^n M_{t+j} \right)$
- The T.S. incorporates future views of monetary policy
  - However, this is conditional on the impact of the T.S. on the macroeconomy
    - Is this reasonable? Is this acceptable?
    - Can this be a form of misspecification of the model?
- Bernanke, March 20 2006: this affects the range of term structure implications for monetary policy

*“... (I)f the behavior of long-term yields reflects current or prospective economic conditions, the implications for policy may be quite different—indeed, quite the opposite. . . . If investors expect that weakness to require policy easing in the medium term, they will mark down their projected path of future spot interest rates, lowering far-forward rates and causing the yield curve to flatten or even to invert.”*

(‘Reflections on the Yield Curve and Monetary Policy’)

---

# Monetary transmission mechanism

---

- Why do affine-term structure models disregard the feedback?  
For analytical convenience! See Rudebusch and Wu (2008), p. 15
  - How empirically relevant is the feedback from the T.S.?
    - Very relevant: Diebold, Rudebusch and Aruoba (2006), Marzo, Söderström and Zagaglia (2007)
  - How feasible in a DSGE framework?
    - Rather feasible: Marzo, Söderström and Zagaglia (2007)
  - Amisano and Tristani: the nonlinear solution delivers estimates of the real interest elasticity of output of more plausible magnitude  
*... because risk premia could account for some of the yields dynamics which must otherwise be explained by expectations terms."*
    - Does output respond directly risk premia in their model?
    - Are they suggesting risk premia are important in the monetary transmission mechanism?
-

# Sources of risk

---

- In a certain way, this model does not follow the avenue of the literature on estimated DSGEs with Bayesian methods:
  - very few shocks
  - very little structure for the macro aggregates,
    - but a lot of demand for the yield curve to generate large premia

These aspects are important for investigating the following issues:

- What source of risk drives the market price of regime switching?
  - How does R.S. risk filter out the macro shocks?
    - Are the main shocks that drive macro variables important also for T.S.?
      - What shocks require a compensation for hedging or insurance?
  - All the more important as the  $MrQ$  nests the available specifications. . .
-

# Additional points

---

- Is the SDF consistent with the microfoundations?
- The ‘bond premium puzzle’ of Rudebusch and Swanson (2008)?
  - Premia are volatile enough to be credible,
    - but the estimates of  $\gamma$  can be a source of trouble . . .

Model	marg. lik.	post. mean $\gamma$
<i>M0L</i>	3584.8	14.2483
<i>M3L</i>	<b>3626.2</b>	<b>15.1056</b>
<i>M0Q</i>	3554.5	9.7311

- It’s unclear whether using the yield data helps for the identification problem,
    - especially when coupled with a nonlinear model solution:
      - why not devote more attention to that?
  - Acceptance rate of *M3L* (.44) is a lot lower than the others (.75) . . .
-



# Conclusion

---

- This can be a relevant step ahead for the main branch of macro-finance:
    - introduction of a new form of risk in a compelling way,
    - methodological points.
  - Looking forward to seeing the results for *MrQ*. . .
    - What T.S. view of the good luck/good policy debate would that deliver?
    - And what about the episodes of 'conundrum'?
  - Is this *really* the direction we want the literature on T.S. to take?
    - There are first-order issues that are disregarded. . .
      - Why not a proper term structure in general equilibrium?
-

# References

---

Diebold, Francis X., Glenn Rudebusch, and S. Boragan Aruoba (2006), "The Macroeconomy and the Yield Curve: A Dynamic Latent Factor Approach," *Journal of Econometrics* 131(1-2), 309–338.

Marzo, Massimiliano, Ulf Söderström, and Paolo Zagaglia (2007) "The Term Structure of Interest Rates and the Monetary Transmission Mechanism," *unpublished manuscript*, Università Bocconi.

Marzo, Massimiliano, and Paolo Zagaglia (2008a) "The Term Structure of Interest Rates and the Cyclicity of Banks Balance Sheets," *unpublished manuscript*, Bank of Finland.

Rudebusch, Glenn D., Brian P. Sack, and Eric T. Swanson, "Macroeconomic Implications of Changes in the Term Premium," Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis *Review* 89 (4), 241–269.

Rudebusch, Glenn D. and Eric Swanson (2008), "The Bond Premium Puzzle," Forthcoming, *Journal of Monetary Economics*.

Rudebusch, Glenn D. and Tao Wu (2008), "A Macro-Finance Model of the Term Structure, Monetary Policy and the Economy," Forthcoming, *Economic Journal*.

---

Discussion of  
'A DSGE Model of the Term Structure  
with Regime Shifts'  
by Gianni Amisano and Oreste Tristani

Paolo Zagaglia  
Stockholm University and Bank of Finland  
Rome, June 23 2008

