Discussion of "A Model of Interacting Banks and Money Market Funds" by Martin Farias and Javier Suarez

Lin Shen INSEAD

4th Banca d'Italia, Bocconi University and CEPR Conference on "Financial Stability and Regulation" April 2024

Research Question

Liquidity provision (Ma, Xiao and Yao 2022)

- Bank deposit: demandable debt
- Money Market Fund (MMF): redeemable equity
- Interactions between banks and MMFs
 - Primary market: MMFs purchase CDs and CPs issued by banks
 Secondary market: banks purchase assets liquidated by MMFs during market turmoils such as March 2020
- This paper: incorporate these interactions and explore implications on firms' liquidity portfolio choices

Key Model Features

 $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0}$: initial investment, primary markets



Key Model Features

t = 1: liquidity shocks, secondary markets

Aggregate liquidity shock

quidity shock		Yes Shadow price of liquidity > 0	No Shadow price of liquidity = 0
	Yes	 Deposit ? MMF ε fraction of deposit NOT demandable MMF shares redeemable at an endogenous discount λ 	 MMF > Deposit ε fraction of deposit NOT demandable
Firm-specific li	No	 Deposit > MMF 1 - ε fraction of deposit can be used to profit from under-valued MMF shares 	MMF = Deposit

▶ Bank deposit: $1 - \epsilon$ fraction demandable, at a fixed rate

- MMF shares: redeemable, at a discount if aggregate shock
 - Banks purchase CPs from MMFs at a cost

• Fire-sale discount λ increases in the amount of redemption

t = 2: all payoffs are settled

Main Result

Pecuniary externality

- Fire-sale discount increases in the amount of redemption
- The redemption by a firm depresses the redemption value for all other firms
- Firms over-invest in MMFs
 - Deadweight loss associated with banks' asset acquisition
 - Reduction in productive investment by firms

Policy implication

Pigouvian tax on MMF investment

Comments

Modeling

 A nice framework with interactions of banks and MMFs on both primary and secondary market

- ► Risk-neutrality + constant return to scale investment → prices straightforwardly tied to exogenous risk-free rates
- MMFs (and banks) are rather passive

Approach 1: streamline the model to highlight the main result
 Focus on firms' portfolio choice problem given exogenous prices

- ► Approach 2: enrich the model to investigate other issues
 - Liquidity management and liquidity regulation
 - Bank lending to firms and bond mutual fund

Liquidity Risk

Banks conduct reverse liquidity transformation in the model

- Asset: liquid short-term safe asset
- Liability: (1ϵ) -fraction demandable deposit + potentially illiquid long-term commercial papers
- Micro-found liquidity risk
 - Banks invest in long-term illiquid asset
 - Deposit withdrawal leads to costly liquidation of bank assets
 - Spillover to MMFs: liquidation cost leads to drop in value of bank CPs and MMF shares

Liquidity Risk Management

Banks

 Banks hold liquidity buffer to deal with (panic or fundamental-based) bank runs

- Money Market Funds
 - MMFs hold liquidity buffer to deal with redemption and maintain a stable net asset value

Externalities in liquidity management (Kara and Ozsoy 2020)

- Liquidity buffer reduces fire-sale cost
- Banks and MMFs under-invest in liquidity reserve
- Potential new perspective: spillover between banks and MMFs

Liquidity Regulations

Ex ante liquidity requirements

- Banks: liquidity coverage ratio, net stable funding ratio
- MMFs: daily liquid asset > 10%, weekly liquid asset > 30%
- Ex post liquidity injection
 - Lending facilities such as Money Market Mutual Fund Liquidity Facility (MMLF)
 - Broad-based asset purchases
- Regulators should factor in the interactions and potential regulatory spillovers between banks and MMFs

Conclusion

- A nice framework that incorporate interactions between banks and MMFs on both primary and secondary markets
- Enrich the model to investigate other issues such as liquidity management and regulations
- I enjoyed reading it!